THERMODYNAMIC PROPERTIES OF THE SILVER ION IN ALCOHOL + WATER SOLVENT MIXTURES FROM ELECTROMOTIVE FORCE MEASUREMENTS AND THERMODYNAMIC SOLUBILITY PRODUCT CONSTANTS OF AgX (X = Cl, Br, I or CNS) AT DIFFERENT TEMPERATURES

UPENDRA NATH DASH, BANKA BEHARI DAS, UTTAM KUMAR BISWAL, TAPODHAN PANDA, NARENDRA KUMAR PUROHIT, DUSHMANTA KUMAR RATH and SHUBHANKAR BHATTACHARYA

Department of Chemistry, Bhadrak College, Bhadrak 756100 (India)

(Received 20 September 1982)

ABSTRACT

The standard potentials of the silver-silver ion electrode in alcohol+water solvent mixtures containing 10 and 20 wt% methanol, ethanol, 1-propanol and 2-propanol have been determined from the electromotive force measurements of the cell Ag(s), AgCl(s), NaCl(c), NaNO₃(c)// NaNO₃(c), AgNO₃(c), Ag(s) at seven different temperatures in the range $5-35^{\circ}$ C. The standard potentials in each solvent have been represented as a function of temperature. The standard thermodynamic functions for the electrode reaction, the primary medium effects of various solvents upon Ag⁺, and the standard thermodynamic quantities for the transfer of 1 g-ion of Ag⁺ from water to the respective alcohol+water media have been evaluated and discussed in the light of ion-solvent interactions as well as the structural changes of the solvents. From the values of the standard potentials of the Ag/Ag⁺ and Ag/AgX, X⁻ electrodes, the thermodynamic solubility product constants of silver chloride, silver bromide, silver iodide and silver thiocyanate have been determined in alcohol+water solvent mixtures at different temperatures.

INTRODUCTION

Until quite recently no exhaustive studies on the behaviour of silver-silver ion electrode in binary solvent systems had been made. Dash et al. [1] first reported the standard potentials of the silver-silver ion electrode in various compositions of urea + water and dioxane + water mixtures at different temperatures ranging from 5 to 35°C from a study of the cell

 $Ag(s), AgCl(s), NaCl(c), NaNO_3(c) / NaNO_3(c), AgNO_3(c), Ag(s)$ (A)

The present work deals with similar studies made on cell (A) in the temperature range $5-35^{\circ}$ C with a view to determining the standard potentials of the Ag/Ag⁺ electrode and the relevant thermodynamic functions for

the Ag^+ ion in alcohol + water solvent mixtures containing 10 and 20 wt% methanol, ethanol, 1-propanol and 2-propanol at these temperatures. In addition, the thermodynamic solubility product constants of AgX (X is Cl, Br, I or CNS) have been evaluated in these solvent mixtures at different temperatures.

EXPERIMENTAL

Sodium chloride, sodium nitrate, and silver nitrate were the same samples as used in earlier studies [1]. Methanol, ethanol, 1-propanol and 2-propanol were purified as described earlier [2]. Silver and silver-silver chloride electrodes were prepared as described earlier [1]. The solvent mixtures of various mass percentages were prepared as described previously [2].

Preparation of the cell solutions, setting up of the cells, EMF and conductance measurements were essentially similar to the procedures described earlier [3]. All measurements were made in water baths at the required temperature with a precision of $\pm 0.1^{\circ}$ C. The reproducibility of EMF measurements was of the order of ± 0.2 mV.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As previously [1], the EMF, E, of cell (A) is given by the expression $E = E^{0}(Ag, Ag^{+}) - E^{0}(Ag, AgCl) + (RT/F) \ln[Ag^{+}][Cl^{-}]\gamma_{\pm}^{2} + E_{j} \qquad (1)$

where the symbols have their usual meanings.

The standard molar potential E_c^0 of the silver-silver ion electrode was obtained by the method of extrapolating [4] the auxiliary function $E_c^{0'}$ given by

$$E_{\rm c}^{0'} = E + E_{\rm c}^{0}(\text{Ag, AgCl}) - 2k \log C + 2kA(2C)^{1/2}/1 + (2C)^{1/2} - E_{\rm j}$$
$$= E_{\rm c}^{0}(\text{Ag, Ag}^{+}) + bC$$
(2)

to the molarity C = 0, where $E_c^0(Ag, AgCl)$ is the standard molar potential of the silver-silver chloride electrode, and are calculated from the molal potential [5] using the density values [5] over the temperature range under investigation for various alcohol + water solvent mixtures.

As in earlier communications [1], the values of the liquid junction potentials $E_{\rm j}$ were calculated and found to vary in the range 0.1-0.3 mV in all solvents for all temperatures. The values of $E_{\rm c}^0$ obtained on extrapolating $E_{\rm c}^{0'}$ to C==O are presented in Table 1. The average standard deviation in the values of $E_{\rm c}^0$ is ± 0.3 mV.

Standard potentials on the molar (E_c^0) , molal (E_m^0) and mole fraction

Wt.%	Organic	$t(^{\circ}C)$						
	solvent	5	10	15	20	25	30	35
0		0.8186	0.8138	0.8089	0.8031	0.7991	0.7950	0.7891
10	Meth-							
	anol	0.8102	0.8047	0.7999	0.7954	0.7904	0.7850	0.7803
20		0.8029	0.7972	0.7917	0.7870	0.7820	0.7766	0.7719
10	Ethanol	0.7967	0.7918	0.7868	0.7819	0.7762	0.7710	
20		0.8092	0.8055	0.8020	0.7988	0.7943	0.7895	0.7849
10	1-Prop-							
	anol	0.7902	0.7864	0.7821	0.7774	0.7739	0.7696	0.7652
20		0.7970	0.7922	0.7871	0.7827	0.7779	0.7726	0,7679
10	2-Prop-							
	anol	0.8008	0.7958	0.7908	0.7861	0.7822	0.7776	0.7736
20		0.8032	0.7992	0.7943	0.7910	0.7865	0.7825	0.7782

Standard molar potentials (E_c^0 in abs. volts) for the Ag(s)-Ag⁺ electrodes in alcohol + water mixtures from 5 to 35°C

 (E_N^0) scales (correlated by the usual equation [4]) at different temperatures for any solvent were fitted, by the method of least-squares, to an equation of the form [6]

$$E_{\rm x}^0 = A + BT + CT \ln T + DT^2/2$$
(3)

where x is C, m or N and T is any temperature in kelvins. The constants A, B, C and D of eqn. (3) for different solvent mixtures are shown in Table 2. The average deviation between the experimental values (Table 1) and values calculated from eqn. (3) is within ± 0.3 mV. The E^0 values at 25°C are reported in Table 3 along with those in water [5].

The standard thermodynamic quantities $(\Delta G^0, \Delta S^0 \text{ and } \Delta H^0)$ on the molal scale for the electrode reaction

$$Ag^+(solvated) + e \rightleftharpoons Ag(s)$$

at different temperatures in various solvents have been evaluated by the usual relations [4]. These values for 25° C are presented in Table 3, which shows that Gibbs free energy change decreases with increasing alcohol (except methanol) contents of the solvent system, i.e., with decreasing the dielectric constant of the solvent. The lowering of Gibbs free energy change with increase of ethanol, 1-propanol and 2-propanol contents of the solvent systems may be due to the fact that the Ag⁺ is more solvated in the solvents containing the increased proportion of ethanol, 1-propanol and 2-propanol. The standard enthalpy and entropy changes are found to be negative for all

TABLE 2

Constants of eqn. (3) for molar (c), molal (m) and mole fraction(N) scales in alcohol + water mixtures

wt.%	Organic solvent	x	A	В	C	$D \times 10^4$
10	Methanol	с	-41.15215	1.6469052	-0.2904725	9.979
		m	- 32.316925	1.3039053	-0.230077	7.917
		Ν	- 36.8588901	1.4793817	-0.26109	8.975
20		c	1.4970167	- 0.0058629	0.0004377	0.06505
		m	- 1.513724	0.11223	-0.020397	0.7843
		Ν	12.741505	- 0.44084	0.076824	- 2.5264
10	Ethanol	с	77.743608	- 2.9944922	0.52777	18.157
		m	21.88068	- 0.83068	0.1469331	5.183
		Ν	18.7227	0.7659045	-0.135118	4.606
20		с	- 10.26658	0.427705	-0.0750691	2.489
		m	- 13.28942	0.5458456	-0.0959024	3.207
		Ν	- 26.153998	1.0456831	-0.184074	6.226
10	1-Propanol	с	- 2.731181	0.138501	-0.024289	0.781
	-	m	2.493284	0.0635393	0.0112493	0.424
		Ν	- 31.79637	1.267543	-0.223272	7.589
20		с	41.506558	- 1.569233	0.276081	- 9.416
		m	5.8068247	-0.1827603	0.0319255	- 1.0734
		Ν	8.744291	-0.2973118	0.0519733	- 1.757
10	2-propanol	С	- 10.85268	0.4720166	-0.083678	2.936
		m	0.496413	0.0709852	-0.013103	0.534
		Ν	- 11.34739	0.5057399	-0.090309	3.274
20		c	122.0988	-4.7022575	0.8278971	28.284
		m	114.5435	- 4.4080102	0.7760494	26.506
		Ν	117.4748	-4.5225206	0.7960972	27.191

the solvents and increase with increase of alcohol contents (except for 1-propanol) of the solvent systems.

The primary medium effect represents the difference in ion-solvent interaction for Ag^+ at infinite dilution in the particular mixed solvent and in water. It measures the change in escaping tendency of the Ag^+ ion in the transfer from a standard state in one solvent to a standard state in another. The values of the primary medium effect (mole fraction scale) of various alcohol + water mixtures upon the Ag^+ ion at 25°C were computed using eqn. (4)

$$\lim(\log {}^{s}\gamma_{w}) = \left[\left(E_{N}^{0} \right)_{w} - \left(E_{N}^{0} \right)_{s} \right] / 2.3026 (RT/F)$$
(4)
$$N \to 0$$

where the limit term indicates the primary medium effect. These values are also included in Table 3. The medium has a greater effect upon the silver ion

Values of the standard potentials of the silver-silver ion electrode on the molar (E_c^0) , molal (E_m^0) , and mole fraction (E_N^0) scales in alcohol + water mixtures and thermodynamic quantities on the molal scale at 25°C

	Wt.% organi	c solvent						
	Methanol		Ethanol		1-Propanol		2-Propanol	
-	10	20	10	20	10	20	10	20
$E_{c}^{0}(V)$	0.7896	0.7820	0.7767	0.7939	0.7737	0.7780	0.7818	0.7874
$E_m^0(V)$	0.7910	0.7833	0.7776	0.7956	0.7743	0.7791	0.7829	0.7890
$E_{N}^{0}(V)$	0.5866	0.5819	0.5741	0.5958	0.5719	0.5807	0.5798	0.5905
$-10^{\circ} \Delta G_{\rm m}^{\rm m}$ (J mole ⁻¹)	76.32	75.6	75.0	76.8	74.7	75.2	75.5	76.1
$-10^{-}\Delta H_{m}$ (J mole ⁻¹)	105.5	104.4	106.9	101.3	98.8	102.0	100.1	93.9
- 43m (J mole ⁻¹ K ⁻¹)	0.66	96.0	107.0	82.0	81.0	89.0	82.0	59.0

265

as the alcohol content of the solvent systems increases. This suggests that the escaping tendency of the Ag^+ ion is greater in alcohol + water solvents than in water medium.

The standard changes of Gibbs free energy (ΔG_t^0), entropy (ΔS_t^0) and enthalpy (ΔH_t^0) for the transfer of 1 g-ion of Ag⁺ from the standard state in water to the standard states of the mixed solvents were calculated by the usual thermodynamic relations [4,6,7] on the mole fraction scale [8]. The values of these quantities at 25°C are given in Table 4 for various alcohol + water solvent mixtures. The standard Gibbs free energies of transfer are positive for all the solvent compositions (except for 20 wt.% ethanol) and decrease with increasing alcohol content, except methanol, in the solvent mixture. The positive ΔG_1^0 values indicate that the Ag⁺ ion is in a higher free energy state in alcohol + water solvent mixtures than in water, suggesting that water has more affinity for the Ag^+ ion than alcohol + water solvent mixtures have. The values of ΔS_t^0 and ΔH_t^0 are positive except for methanol (in 10 wt.% ethanol only ΔS_1^0 is negative) mixtures, so the entropy in alcohol + water mixtures is more than in pure water, and hence the net amount of order created by the Ag⁺ ion in alcohol + water mixtures, except in methanol and 10 wt.% ethanol, is less than in pure water. The Ag⁺ ion thus breaks more structure in the mixed solvent than in water. This is further supported with the view [9] that all structure-breaking processes, including desolvation of ions, are endothermic and are accompanied by a gain in entropy.

As previously [1,3,7], the transfer Gibbs free energy change is divided into two parts as

$$\Delta G_{\rm t}^0 = \Delta G_{\rm t,el}^0 + \Delta G_{\rm t,ch}^0 \tag{5}$$

The electrostatic contribution to the Gibbs free energy of transfer $(\Delta G_{t,el}^0)$ may be computed from the Born expression

$$\Delta G_{t,el}^0 = \left(N e^2 / 2r_+ \right) \left(\epsilon_s^{-1} - \epsilon_w^{-1} \right)$$
(6)

where the radius of the silver ion (r_{+}) may be taken as 0.126 nm [8], ϵ_s and ϵ_w are the dielectric constants of the mixed solvent and water, respectively, and were obtained from the literature [10]. The electrostatic part of the entropy of transfer can be calculated from

$$\Delta S_{t,el}^{0} = \left(-Ne^{2}/2r_{+}\right)\left(\epsilon_{s}^{-1}\theta_{s}^{-1} - \epsilon_{w}^{-1}\theta_{w}^{-1}\right)$$

$$\tag{7}$$

The values of θ_w and θ_s can be evaluated [11] from the slopes of the linear plots of ln ϵ vs. T for water and the alcohol + water mixtures, using a simple empirical equation [5] in which θ is a constant, characteristic of the medium [5,8].

$$d\ln\epsilon/dT = -1/\theta \tag{8}$$

From a knowledge of $\Delta G_{t,el}^0$ and $\Delta S_{t,el}^0$ the electrostatic part of the enthalpy

Transfer thermodynamic quantities and primary medium effect (mole fraction scale) in different alcohol + water mixtures at 25°C

	Wt.% organi	c solvent						
	Methanol		Ethanol		1-Propanol		2-Propanol	
	01	20	10	20	10	20	10	20
$G_t^0(J \text{ mole}^{-1})$	607.896	1061.405	1814.038	- 279.825	2026.319	1177.195	1264.037	231.579
$G_{tel}^0(J mole^{-1})$	62.64	116.57	75.58	143.09	86.94	170.04	66.06	180.30
$G_{tch}^{0}(J mole^{-1})$	545.25	944.84	1738.463	- 422.92	1939.38	1007.18	1173.05	51.28
$S_{t}^{0}(J \text{ mole}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1})$	- 2.56	- 5.45	5.16	12.83	9.94	7.72	17.18	38.89
- ΔS_{tel}^0 (J mole ⁻¹ K ⁻¹)	0.458	0.79	0.534	0.861	0.610	1.13	0.594	1.12
$S_{tch}^{0}(J mole^{-1} K^{-1})$	-2.10	- 50.66	-4.63	13.69	10.55	8.85	17.77	40.01
$H_t^0(J \text{ mole}^{-1})$	- 153.9	-57311.17	254.45	3683.17	4972.31	3460.31	6374.42	11794.15
$-\Delta H_{\rm t,el}^0({\rm J} {\rm mole}^{-1})$	73.69	118.79	83.50	113.37	94.87	165.95	85.93	154.09
$H_{t,ch}^{0}(J mole^{-1})$	- 80.2083	- 57192.37	337.95	3796.54	5046.00	3626.26	6460.35	11948.24
$\lim_{m \to 0} (\log^{s} \gamma_{w})$	0.1068	0.1863	0.3181	-0.0487	0.3553	0.2066	0.2218	0.0409

267

x	Ref.	(°C)		•••• • •••		- 14 <u>-</u> 4		
		5	10	15	20	25	30	35
10 wt.% met Cl	hanol							
$K_{\rm s}^{\rm c} \times 10^{10}$	5 12	0.245	0.420	0.704	1.168	1.877 2.044	2.899	4.251
$K_s^m \times 10^{10}$	5 12	0.253	0.435	0.730	1.213	1.955 2.129	3.027	4.455
Br								
$\frac{K_s^c \times 10^{13}}{K_s^m \times 10^{13}}$	17					5.755 5.993		
I $K_s^c \times 10^{16}$ $K_s^m \times 10^{16}$	17					1.083 1.128		
CNS								
$\frac{K_{\rm s}^{\rm c} \times 10^{12}}{K_{\rm s}^{\rm m} \times 10^{12}}$	2	0.107 0.105	0.217 0.224	0.429 0.445	0.849 0.883	1.639 1.707	3.010 3.144	5.302 5.556
20 wt.% met Cl	hanol							
$K_{\rm s}^{\rm c} \times 10^{10}$	5 12	0.239	0.425	0.729	1.207	1.925 2.237	2.982	4.498
$K_{\rm s}^{\rm m} \times 10^{10}$	5 12	0.255	0.455	0.781	1.292	2.070 2.405	3.215	4.866
CNS								
$K_{\rm s}^{\rm c} \times 10^{12}$ $K_{\rm s}^{\rm m} \times 10^{12}$	2	0.133 0.142	0.278 0.29 6	0.559 0.5 9 9	1.090 1.666	2.070 2.226	3.817 4.116	6.875 7.438
10 wt.% eth	anol							
$K_s^c \times 10^{10}$	5 12	0.427	0.745	1.271	2.051	3.234 3.255	5.222	8.623
	13 15				2.047	3.033 3.015	5.263	8.785
$K_s^{\rm m} \times 10^{10}$	5 12	0.441	0.772	1.317	2.129	3.374 3.393	5.449	9.026
	13 15				2.124	3.163 3.142	5.491	9.196
Br								
$K_{s}^{c} \times 10^{12}$	21			0.313	0.571	1.023	1.836	3.386
12 m v 1012	23			0.306	0.560	1.001	1.802	3.316
$\mathbf{K}_{s}^{10} \times 10^{12}$	21			0.325	0.593	1.060	1.916	3.344 3.471

.

Solubility product constants, on the molar(c) and molal(m) scales, of AgX (X = Cl, Br, I or CNS) in various alcohol + water mixtures at different temperatures

TABLE 5

x	Ref.	(°C)						
		5	10	15	20	25	30	35
CNS								
$K_{s}^{c} \times 10^{12}$	2	0.156	0.321	0.641	1.228	2.291	4.315	8.586
$K_{s}^{m} \times 10^{12}$		0.161	0.332	0.665	1.274	2.388	4.502	8.988
20 wt.% ethe	anol							
$K^{\circ} \times 10^{10}$	5	0 161	0 272	0 449	0 779	1 168	1 814	2 750
ii s / iii	12	0.101	0.272	0	0.172	1 303	11014	2.750
	13				0 723	1 141	1 837	2 759
	15				0.720	1.140	1.057	2.757
$K^{\rm m}_{\rm m} \times 10^{10}$	5	0.171	0.290	0.479	0.832	1.250	1.947	2.970
	12					1.395		
	13				0.772	1.222	1.973	2.973
	15	÷ .				1.220		
Dr								
$K^{c} \times 10^{12}$	21			0 134	0.245	0 / 35	0 751	1 278
$\mathbf{x}_{s} \wedge \mathbf{w}$	21			0.134	0.243	0.435	0.751	1.276
$K^{\rm m} \times 10^{12}$	23			0.130	0.247	0.456	0.759	1.270
M _s Alo	23			0.145	0.263	0.460	0.815	1.375
C 1 C				011.10	0.200	0.107	0.010	1.070
	2	0.000	0.170	0 222	0.000	1 1 1 6	0.001	2 607
$K_s \times 10^{12}$	2	0.033	0.170	0.322	0.602	1.115	2.021	3.397
$\mathbf{x}_{s} \times 10$		0.933	0.181	0.343	0.045	1.194	2.1/1	3.870
10 wt.% 1 - p Cl	propanol	1						
$K_{\rm s}^{\rm c} \times 10^{10}$	5	0.520	0.854	1.378	2.179	3.383	5.151	7.711
	16					3.342		
	18					3.346		
$K_{\rm s}^{\rm m} \times 10^{10}$	5	0.537	0.882	1.426	2.259	3.514	5.367	8.061
	16					3.472		
	18					3.476		
CNS								
$K_s^c \times 10^{12}$	2	0.269	0.517	0.967	1.788	3.252	5.705	9.982
$K_{s}^{m} \times 10^{12}$		0.278	0.534	1.001	1.853	3.378	5.944	10.433
20 wt % 1 - r	ronanol	,						
$\frac{20}{10}$ with $\frac{10}{10}$ $\frac{10}{10}$	opunoi							
$K^{c} \times 10^{10}$	5	0 296	0.506	0.883	1 4 1 6	2 235	3 474	5 634
s / 10	16	0.270	0.200	0.000	1.410	2.051	5.4/4	5.054
	18					2.150		
$K_{c}^{m} \times 10^{10}$	5	0.314	0.538	0.940	1,510	2.390	3,726	6.063
5	16			0.2.0		2.193		5.002
	18					2.299		
	18					4.477		

TABLE 5 (continued)

TABLE 5	(contin	ueđ)
---------	---------	------

x	Ref.	(°C)				·			
		5	10	15	20	25	30	35	
10 wt. % 2 - p	propanol		.						
$K_s^c \times 10^{10}$	5 15 19	0,326	0.562	0.934	1.498	2.316 2.399 2.326	3.479	5.056	
$K_{\rm s}^{\rm m} \times 10^{10}$	22 5 15 19	0.336	0.581	0.957	1.522	2.326 2.406 2.492 2.417	3.483	4.996 5.284	
Br $K^{c} \sim 10^{12}$	22			0.990	1.578	2.417	3.629	5.222	
$K_s^m \times 10^{12}$	20 21 20	0.059	0.116	0.222 0.221 0.229	0.407 0.408 0.422	0.717 0.719 0.745	1.221 1.221 1.272	2.002 2.016 2.092	
CNS	21	0.061	0.120	0.229	0.423	0.745	1.272	2.107	
$\frac{K_{\rm s}^{\rm c}\times10^{12}}{K_{\rm s}^{\rm m}\times10^{12}}$	2	0.128 0.132	0.257 0.266	0.506 0.523	0.964 0.999	1.786 1.855	3.225 3.360	5.568 5.820	
20 wt.% 2 - p Cl	oropanol								
$K_{\rm s}^{\rm c} \times 10^{10}$	5 8 15 19	0.194 0.194	0.343 0.344	0.576 0.577	0.887 0.891	1.328 1.325 1.391 1.343	1.979 1.975	3.028 3.032	
$K_{\rm s}^{\rm m} \times 10^{10}$	22 5 8 15 19 22	0.206 0.206	0.365 0.366	0.579 0.613 0.615	0.873 0.946 0.950	1.277 1.420 1.417 1.487 1.436 1.366	1.857 2.122 2.118	2.808 3.258 3.263	
Br $K_s^c \times 10^{12}$ $K_s^m \times 10^{12}$	21	0.040 0.043	0.082 0.087	0.158 0.168	0.276 0.294	0.466 0.498	0.785 0.842	1.359 1.462	
CNS $K_s^c \times 10^{12}$ $K_s^m \times 10^{12}$	2	0.128 0.136	0.261 0.277	0.510 0.543	0.920 0.981	1.614 1.726	2.853 3.060	5.282 5.684	

change $\Delta H_{t,el}^0$ has been evaluated. The chemical contribution of the Gibbs free energy of transfer ($\Delta G_{t,ch}^0$), entropy of transfer ($\Delta S_{t,ch}^0$) and enthalpy of transfer ($\Delta H_{t,ch}^0$) can then be obtained by subtracting the respective electrostatic contribution values from the molar quantities. These values so obtained at 25°C are shown in Table 4.

The chemical contribution to the Gibbs free energy of transfer, $\Delta G_{t,ch}^0$ is positive for all solvents (except for 20 wt.% ethanol) and decreases with increasing alcohol content, except for methanol, in the solvent mixture. In so far as $\Delta G_{t,ch}^0$ is a criterion of the changes in the acidity or basicity of the medium, the positive value of $\Delta G_{t,ch}^0$ indicates that the transfer process from water to the respective mixed solvent is not favoured. Thus, as far as chemical reaction or solvation is concerned, the Ag⁺ ion is in a lower Gibbs energy state in water than in the mixed solvent. In other words, the mixed solvents possess a smaller solvating capacity toward Ag⁺ and are less basic (i.e., more acidic) than water. The positive values of $\Delta H_{t,ch}^0$ for ethanol, 1-propanol and 2-propanol contents of solvent mixtures reflect the larger enthalpy change involved in breaking down the solvent structure on the transfer process. This view is further supported by the positive value of $\Delta S_{t,ch}^0$ for these solvent mixtures (except for 10 wt.% ethanol), which is associated with an overall disorder created by the Ag⁺ ion during the transfer process.

The thermodynamic solubility products K_{so}^0 of silver chloride, silver bromide, silver iodide and silver thiocyanate in alcohol + water mixtures have been calculated from the values of standard potentials by means of the equation

$$\ln K_{\rm so}^{0}({\rm AgX}) = \left[E^{0}({\rm Ag}, {\rm AgX}) - E^{0}({\rm Ag}, {\rm Ag}^{+}) \right] (F/RT)$$
(9)

where $E^0(Ag, AgX)$ is the standard molar potential of the Ag, AgX (X is Cl, Br, I or CNS) electrode and is either obtained from the literature [4] or calculated from the standard molal potentials [5,8,12–23] using the density data of the mixed solvents, over the temperature range under investigation. The values for K_{so}^0 on the molarity (K_{so}^c) and molality (K_{so}^m) scales at the experimental temperatures are listed in table 5. The data for K_{so}^m were calculated from K_{so}^c/ρ^2 . The solubility data were fitted by least squares to the usual equation [24,25]

$$\log K_{\rm so}^{\rm p}({\rm AgX}) = A/(T/{\rm K}) + B \tag{10}$$

where p is c or m, and T is any temperature in kelvins.

The constants A and B of eqn. (10) are shown in Table 6 for various silver salts for all solvents, along with σ , the standard deviation for the precision of the least-squares fit; the correlation coefficient for the relations is within 1.00.

Constants of eqn. (10) on molar (c) and molal (m) scales in alcohol+water mixtures for different silver salts

AgX	Ref.	р	Wt.% organic s	olvent		
X≡			Methanol		Ethanol	
			10	20	10	20
Cl A B o	5	с	3527.05925 2.093326 0.059	- 3625.9316 2.44145 0.060	- 3728.7328 3.038288 0.062	- 3516.4834 1.865708 0.059
Α Β σ		m	- 3542.8360 2.164311 0.059	- 3641.7980 2.52642 0.061	- 37724.5946 3.211737 0.063	- 3532.0941 1.948162 0.059
Α Β σ	13	с			- 3819.4586 3.329045 0.059	- 3497.5733 1.798446 0.054
Α Β σ		m			- 3841.6543 3.421078 0.059	- 3520.532 1.949799 0.054
Α Β σ	22	C				
Α Β σ		m				
Α Β σ	8	с				
Α Β σ		m				
Br A B σ	20	с				
Α Β σ		m				
Α Β σ	21	c			- 4590.3827 3.423705 0.073	- 4341.719 2.205182 0.053
A		m	·		- 4610.4252	-4362.2953

1-Propanol		2-Propanol	
10	20	10	20
- 3339.9236 1.734118 0.082	3651.2373 2.606279 0.061	- 3385.1529 1.712248 0.056	- 3394.0311 1.515927 0.057
- 3355.5134 1.803415 0.056	- 3668.3038 2.693208 0.061	- 3400.454 1.780531 0.057	- 3410.4857 1.600722 0.057
		- 3177.3182 1.022116 0.051	- 3043.3793 0.323848 0.049
		- 3197.473	- 3063.9855

5177.5102	50-5.5795
1.022116	0.323848
0.051	0.049
3197.473	- 3063.9855
1.106605	0.422395
0.051	0.049
	- 3397.7521
	1.528956
	0.057
	- 3473.3631
	1.815926
	0.058

- 4232.835	
2.051777	
0.067	
- 4252.5375	
2.134709	
0.068	
- 4372.8131	- 4350.4784
2.518184	2.272454
0.073	0.073
-4388.1032	- 4366.3519

AgX	Ref.	р	Wt.% organic solvent				
λ=			Methanol		Ethanol		
			10	20	10	20 ,	
B σ					3.508578 0.074	2.304168 0.070	
Α Β σ	23	c			- 4597.9972 3.440111 0.073	- 4316.0135 2.121754 0.073	
Α Β σ		m			- 4615.3867 3.515858 0.074	- 4336.1685 2.219454 0.069	
CNS A B σ	2	c	- 4836.7948 4.43307 0.081	- 4888.7420 4.716555 0.081	4976.8888 5.080573 0.083	- 4606.6265 3.507676 0.077	
Α Β σ		m	- 4852.0997 4.502451 0.081	- 4904.4766 4.801062 0.082	- 4992.0848 5.149254 0.083	- 4622.4995 3.591013 0.077	

.

TABLE 6 (continued)

1-Propanol		2-Propanol		
10	20	10	20	
		2.586445 0.073	2.355293 0.073	
- 4477.9620 3.535269	4464.5113 3.494752	- 4672.7379 3.925044	- 4607.6288 3.684001	
0.075	0.074	0.077	0.077	
- 4495.2024 3.604692 0.075	- 4460.3848 3.57759 0.060	- 4088.0384 3.993325 0.078	- 4622.9486 3.764919 0.077	

.

REFERENCES

- 1 U.N. Dash, B.B. Das, U.K. Biswal, T. Panda, N.K. Purohit, D.K. Rath and S. Bhattacharya, Thermochim. Acta, 61 (1983) 379.
- 2 U.N. Dash and M.C. Padhi, Thermochim. Acta, 60 (1983) 243.
- 3 R.C. Das, U.N. Dash and K.N. Panda, Electrochim. Acta, 24 (1979) 99.
- 4 U.N. Dash and M.C. Padhi, Thermochim. Acta, 39 (1980) 335.
- 5 H.S. Harned and B.B. Owen, The Physical Chemistry of Electrolytic Solutions, Reinhold, New York, 3rd edn., (1967).
- 6 U.N. Dash and S.K. Nayak, Can. J. Chem., 58 (1980) 992.
- 7 (a) U.N. Dash and M.C. Padhi, Thermochim. Acta, 45 (1981) 245.
 (b) U.N. Dash and M.C. Padhi, J. Electroanal. Chem., 122 (1981) 147.
- 8 R.A. Robinson and R.H. Stokes, Electrolyte Solutions, Butterworths, London, 1968.
- 9 R.N. Roy, W. Vernon, A. Bothwell and J. Gibbons, J. Electrochem. Soc., 119 (1972) 694.
- 10 G. Akerlof, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 54 (1932) 4125.
- 11 B.K. Das and P.K. Das, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. I, 74 (1978) 22.
- 12 H.S. Harned and C. Calmon, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 61 (1939) 1491.
- 13 A. Patterson and W.A. Felsing, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 64 (1942) 1478.
- 14 R.L. Moore and W.A. Felsing, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 69 (1947) 1076.
- 15 H.S. Harned and D.S. Allen. J. Phys. Chem., 58 (1954) 191.
- 16 B.H. Claussen and C.M. French, Trans. Faraday Soc., 51 (1955) 708.
- 17 D. Feakins and P. Watson, J. Chem. Soc., (1963) 4686.
- 18 P.S. Gentile, L. Eberle and M. Cefola, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 8 (1963) 420.
- 19 J.P. Morel and J. Morin, J. Chim. Phys., 67 (1970) 2018.
- 20 I. Mekjavic and I. Tominic, Croat, Chem. Acta, 47 (1975) 25.
- 21 K. Bose, A.K. Das and K.K. Kundu, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. I, 72 (1976) 1633; 73 (1972) 655.
- 22 M.M. Elsemongy and A.S. Fouda, J. Electroanal. Chem., 114 (1980) 25.
- 23 M.M. Elsemongy and A.S. Fouda, Electrochim. Acta, 26 (1981), 1125.
- 24 U.N. Dash and S.K. Nayak, Can. J. Chem., 59 (1981) 3030.
- 25 U.N. Dash and M.C. Padhi, Thermochim. Acta, 48 (1981) 241.